

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/833,165	09/833,165 04/11/2001		Craig Rae Fowler	60,130-788	1533	
26096	7590	06/13/2003				
CARLSON 400 WEST N		EY & OLDS, P.C.	EXAMINER			
SUITE 350				ADDISON,	KAREN B	
BIRMINGH.	BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2834		
	DATE MAILED: 06/13/2003					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/833,165	FOWLER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Karen B Addison	2834
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA:  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica:  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of the y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO.  y statute, cause the application to be seen and	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed (	on <u>12/3/03</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)[	☐ This action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims	allowance except for formal ma under <i>Ex part</i> e <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-25</u> is/are pending in	the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w		
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-25</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	and/or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐		the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ d	lisapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	d in reply to this Office action.	, ,
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		( ) ( - ) ( )
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		pplication No.
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for</li></ul>	e priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	received in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do		
a)  The translation of the foreign languag	e provisional application has be	en received.
tachment(s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	B) 5)   Notice of Ir	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office D-326 (Rev. 04-01)	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 603

Application/Control Number: 09/833,165

Art Unit: 2834

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Horski (6111334).

As best understood, Horski shows a motor in fig.1-5 comprising: a stator body having a solid core (38) formed of a first material made of powder metal / plastic with a plurality of circumferentially spaced portions (40) having a second material consisting of plastic at an outer peripheral surfaces, and a conductive material is deposited between the teeth (36) over the insulating layer that's more conductive than the first material. Wherein, the insulating material (plastic) is place around the circumferentially spaced teeth, and a conductive material deposited between the teeth.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2834

3. Claims 7-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horski in view of Hull (6025663).

1. As see above Horski discloses substantially the claim invention including: a rotor (52) cooperating to drive an output- shaft (58) a frame (12) that can be use in an automobile. However, Horski do not disclose an vehicle component movable between a plurality of operational positions relative to a fixed component driving by an AC motor herein the output shaft is coupled to the vehicle component between the operation position between the stator and rotor cooperating to drive the motor of the output shaft and a closer member fixed to a frame.

Hull teaches vehicle component fig.1 comprising: an electric motor (12) having a vehicle component (10) movable between a plurality of operational positions relative to a fixed component (12) driving by an AC motor wherein the output shaft (14) is coupled to the vehicle component between the operation position between the stator (12.1) and rotor (12.2) cooperating to drive the motor of the output shaft (14) with a gear assembly (18) coupled to the closer member (window see col.1 line 1-2) fixed to a frame for the purpose of driving the closes member. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the electric motor of Horski with the teaching of Hull electric motor for the purpose utilizing a different locking technique which allows the use of a smaller motor and no gear box to achieve the same useful results as a worm wheel drive system at considerably less cost.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,165

Art Unit: 2834

Referring to claims 3,9, and 20, little patentable weight has been given to the method of manufacturing limitations (i. e. co-extrusion and) since "even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985)

Referring to claims 16 and 19 the selection of a known material based upon it's suitability (i.e. Ferro- plastic, nylon filled plastic) for the intended use is a design consideration within the ordinary skill in the art. In re leshin, 227 f.2d 197,125,USPQ416(ccp1960).

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/833,165

Art Unit: 2834

Page 5

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen B Addison whose telephone number is 703-306-5855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 703-308-1317. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

KBA June 2, 2003